

The rules of coherence and other habits

M. R. C. Solis
 Theoretical Physics Group
 National Institute of Physics
 University of the Philippines,
 Diliman, Quezon City
 1101 PHILIPPINES
 e-mail: msolis@nip.upd.edu.ph

Teachers and students alike have often wondered why some people are more succesful at mathematics and physics than others. It is tempting to believe that some people are just smarter than others. It is a flattering explanation, if you happen to be among the succesful people, while at the same time offering consolation for other people, e.g. “I just don’t have a mathematical mind”.

I believe though, that there is another explanation. People good at math and physics are succesful not because they’re smarter than the rest of us (although being a bit smarter than the rest of us does help!). Rather, the explanation is found in the habits that they’ve developed.

It is unfortunate that these habits aren’t often taught to freshmen because they stand to benefit most. It is hoped that some effort be made in physics departments to promote these habits. Oxford and Cambridge University provide booklets explaining these points to their freshmen mathematics majors. Something similar might be done for freshmen physics majors.

To explain these habits, I shall concentrate on how to apply these habits to the study of mathematics. This article contains some observations on mathematically successful people as well as some of the tricks that I have learned while grappling with the material. This article doesn’t contain any new mathematics; instead, it is intended to help you learn how to do mathematics.¹

1 The Rules of Coherence

In this section, we will learn how to write down solutions. This is an important skill, because continued practice of the rules of coherence forces you to seek

the clearest explanation. Furthermore, a clear explanation is easier to remember than a complicated one.

The rules of coherence also help you write papers that you intend to submit for publication. By following these rules, you may go a long way towards making the reading of your work a pleasurable experience.

- **Math is prose.** This means mathematics (at least, as used by the physicist) is a language as well as a means towards getting the answers we want. Since it is a language, we must make an effort to integrate it carefully with our ordinary English prose, or whatever language we are using. For example, “using the angle addition formulae for cosines

$$\cos(x+y) = \cos x \cos y - \sin x \sin y \quad (1)$$

$$\cos(x-y) = \cos x \cos y + \sin x \sin y \quad (2)$$

we may easily derive the formula...”

This is an important rule that most students seem to forget whenever they have to pass solutions to assigned problem sets. What they submit is usually a stream of equations without signposts for the poor reader (in this case, the instructor) as to how they got which from what. Symbols are left undefined, assumptions and theorems used are not explicitly stated, etc. Is it any wonder that the instructor therefore descends like an avenging angel on their work, trailing red ink in his wake?

- **Fisher’s rule** This rule is named after one of the teachers of N. David Mermin. It is simply stated: Number *all* equations. We do this to make our solutions clear. Instead of referring to “the first equation in the introduction,” we instead say something like “from the angle addition formulae for cosines (2).”

¹The methods suggested here are also useful in physics!

There is a variant of Fisher's rule, called Occam's rule, which Mermin calls a heresy: Number all equations you think you might want to refer to. I do not recommend it. For example, what happens if you wish to add another equation, or what if you eventually want to cite an equation that you left unnumbered? You would have to go through the painful process of renumbering by hand. This is okay if your work is a mere three pages, but it is a nightmare if you wish to fix a two hundred-page manuscript.

- **The Good Samaritan Rule** A good Samaritan is someone who helps people in distress, and nothing is more distressing than the appearance of "from equation (3.3.10)", an equation found in chapter 3, when you are working on something in, say, chapter 17. It is annoying especially when you're reading something as massive as MTW², and you have to flip through five hundred pages just to figure out what the equation is all about.

Thus, Mermin proposed the Good Samaritan rule: Add explanatory text. For example, when citing an equation, introduce it with a descriptive phrase as well as an equation number.

You might ask, "Why bother with the good samaritan rule at all?". After all, you might think, you understand your solution. It may be so today, but what if you had to read your solution three years from now? (See "file your work") The good samaritan rule exists so that you will be able to understand your solution, say, twenty years from now.

The good samaritan rule is also useful when you're writing computer programs. It isn't hard to add explanatory text (comments!) to computer programs. Comments make the job of understanding your code easier for other people and yourself.

- **Cite your sources.** This rule is about honesty. If your pal helped you work out a difficult mathematical problem, acknowledge his or her help. If your formula came from Arfken or from Whitaker and Watson, say so and include the page (and equation number), edition, etc.

Aside from honesty, citing sources is especially useful if you want to recheck your work. Sources aren't infallible. Reproducing previously done work adds to the length of our solutions. Citing

sources is a convenient way of avoiding having to redo what might be a long calculation.³

The rules of coherence boils down to a simple question: can my solution serve as supplementary lecture notes? If yes, then the rules of coherence are satisfied. If no, you need to rework your solution until it satisfies the rules of coherence.⁴

2 Other habits

Aside from the rules of coherence, there are other useful habits.

- **File your work.** It is part of the fallen state of man that he forgets. If you spent a week thinking about a problem, and you were asked about it three years later, wouldn't it be frustrating to find out that you have *absolutely* forgotten *how* to solve the problem? If you had it on file, re-reading your work could save you what might have been another week of thinking.

There is no guarantee that the solutions you submit will be returned to you. Therefore, always make two copies of your solution— one for yourself, and another for your instructor. This isn't difficult today, for ours is the age of the photocopying machine.

This is how I file my work. I have folders with labels for the various things that interest me. As soon as I have solved something, I produce a neat write-up and file it in the relevant folder. I make sure that I write down when I solved it, and if the problem was really tough, I also include wrong attacks on the problem, as well as the length of time (days, weeks, months, or even years) I spent on it. You might have your own filing system. If so, use the filing system that is most convenient for you. So long as you file whatever it is that you worked on.

- **Study ahead.** This is something that I discovered after carefully watching the ur-Geek in the theoretical physics group. Before taking a graduate-level quantum mechanics course, this guy sat through a year of lectures (as an undergrad!) and worked on a sizable number of problems. Is it any wonder he aced the class?

³Although it doesn't remove the burden of making sure that the source we used is indeed reliable!

⁴This statement of the rules of coherence is due to N. David Mermin, unpublished lecture notes.

²**Gravitation** by Misner, Thorne, and Wheeler (a classic General Relativity text)

- **Solve as many problems as you can.** The only way to test your understanding of the material in the lectures or in your book is by working on the problems. I am of course, assuming that you follow the other rules as well. The aforementioned rules help keep you honest. It is almost impossible to fool yourself if you conscientiously follow Fisher, the Good Samaritan, etc. If there are gaps in your understanding, you will eventually reach a point where you cannot justify the steps you made. When you find yourself unable to explain the origins of an equation, it means you have to do some more reading and thinking.
- **Talk to other people.** One of the best ways of learning is by talking it over with a friend, a colleague, or a professor. Try to explain the idea you've learned to other people. It is very easy to fool oneself. Other people provide feedback by asking questions and making you clarify things.

Incidentally, the rules of coherence and the other habits might provide an explanation for the Feynman effect. As everyone knows, Feynman is legendary for his deep understanding of physics. Based on second-hand reports ⁵, Feynman had an extensive filing system. Feynman even preserved his Far Rockaway notebooks, the ones he used as a high school student to teach himself trigonometry and calculus! By filing his work, he had an enormous storehouse of previous work, just waiting for an opportunity to be used in other areas of his research.

3 Final Words

In this article, we have examined some helpful habits. There are others, of course. Based on an informal survey these habits aren't as widespread among undergraduate physicists. This is a pity, because we have a lot to gain from these habits.

Physics and mathematics are difficult enough without the additional burden of bad habits. It is hoped that by explaining these habits to our students, and encouraging the adoption of these habits, they will end up with a deeper understanding of mathematics and physics.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank the members of the GR study group: A. Alarcon, B. A. Rara, G. M. Sardane, M. S. A. Sereno, and R. R. D. J. Sol. He also wishes to thank N. D. Mermin and E. F. Taylor for reading the manuscript, and for their comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] N. David Mermin "What's Wrong With These Equations" *Physics Today* October 1989 pp 9-10

⁵James Gleick, *Genius: The Life and Science of Richard Feynman*, Vintage Books; Reprint edition (November 1993)